Approved For Release 2002/10/10 : CIA-RDP90-00610R000100090031-4

Scentime shortly after 1600 hours on 22 January 1917, a copy of the proposed National Defence Act of 1947 was delivered to the Director of Central Intelligence for comment on those sections applicable to him. Immediate review of the intelligence sections indicated that they had been lifted virtually verbatin from 5-2004, the Merger Hill introduced into the 79th Congress by Senator Thomas. These provisions are considered unsatisfactory to C. I.O. in many respects. The salient features of disagregatest are included in the Memorandum from the undersigned to the Director of Central Intelligence, dated 23 January 1957, subjects "Prepared Hill for Matient Persons Lat of 1917a

ILLEGIB

A sculerence with the Mrector established the policy that an attempt should not be made to remove from the referre Act all but a pare mention of the Central Intelligence agency, and introduce a separate City Bill. The Brestor also indicated his desire to have included a previated that he would serve as the advisor to the Council on National Referen on matters pertaining to intelligence, and that in this capacity he souls attend all meetings of the Council. It was agreed that the Director should take no part in the decisions of the Council as this was a pility making bedy, and it had long been agreed that Central Intelligence : how not be involved in policy making.

ILLEGIB

masks 1000 hours, 23 Jamery 1967, a conference was held in the ciffice of Mrs Charles S. Rurphy, Administrative Assistant to the President, at which General Vandenburg, Vice Admiral Forrest Sherman, Major General Lauris Morstad, the undersigned, and Mr. Houston were present. Mr. Europhy stated that the subject was new to him, as he had first entered the ploture on 20 Jamery 1967 and was charged with the over-all drafting of the White House version of the Hational Defense Lot. He stated that he did not know that a proposed CIS enabling Act had been subditted to Ers. Clifford's officel He suggested (concurred in by all present) timb the draft of the proposed GIO enabling not be substituted for the intelligence sections of the proposed Extient Defence int as an first is working bester

ILLEGIB!

The state of the state of the second state of from the undersigned, dated 23 January 1947, it was pointed out that no mention of a GIA had been made in the title of the proposed bill. Tils was due to the fact that a considerable number of boards and councils were ILLEGIB created by this bill and none of them were being named in the title. The fore, it would not seen appropriate to mention CIA in the tinte. In this Ceneral: Yandenberg concurred.

ILLEGIB

ILLEGIB

ILLEGIB

ILLÉGIB

CONFIDENTIAL